

ANTIMICROBIAL AND CLINICAL PROFILE OF UROPATHOGENS ISOLATED AT A TERTIARY CARE LEVEL LABORATORY

Jamila Farid,¹ Muhammad Idris,¹ Abdur Rauf Leghari,² Muhammad Shahbaz²

ABSTRACT

Background: Urinary tract infection is common in all age groups. It is more common in females, immunocompromised patients and those who have been catheterized or had some other invasive procedure of urinary tract. Gram negative and gram positive microorganisms are responsible for UTI. Sensitivity of uropathogens to antimicrobial drugs has changed over the past many years. **Objective:** The present study was planned to determine the common uropathogens, their antimicrobial sensitivity and clinical profile at a tertiary level health facility. **Material & Methods:** In this cross sectional study, urine samples of two hundred symptomatic patients were studied and the underlying pathogens were identified by appropriate methods. The present study was conducted from 1st May, 2009 to 31st May, 2012 in Ayub Teaching Hospital, Abbottabad. This study was conducted from 1st May, 2009 to 31st May, 2012, in Ayub Teaching Hospital, Abbottabad. Subsequently their sensitivity to antibiotics was determined by the recommended method. The data was entered and analyzed in SPSS 15. **Results:** Female patients were more than males. Increased frequency and dysuria were observed in all patients. E.coli was the commonest pathogen identified, followed by Klebsiella, Staphylococcus and Pseudomonas species. E.coli was sensitive to aminoglycosides, carbapenem and quinolones in decreasing order of frequency. Klebsiella and pseudomonas are sensitive to norfloxacin, whereas, staphylococcus are sensitive to quinolones. **Conclusion:** E.coli remains the most common uropathogen. Antimicrobial sensitivity of uropathogens showed a changing pattern.

Key words: Urinary tract infection, Antimicrobial sensitivity, Urinary tract anomalies, Uropathogens

INTRODUCTION

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is one of the common infections in all age groups. Microorganisms causing UTI vary in different age groups. Urinary tract infection is more common in hospitalized patients, diabetics, females, and those having some underlying anatomical or physiological defect of urinary tract.¹ Recurrent UTI is also more common in women.² Previously gram negative microorganisms were considered the most common cause of UTI. This has changed over the past few years.^{3,4,5} Changing microbial pattern may be the result of self medication, haphazard use of antibiotics and lack of culture and sensitivity testing. Knowledge of common urinary pathogens and their antibiotic sensitivity or resistance is important for the effective and timely treatment of UTI on scientific basis. This is not always possible because of the lack of adequate laboratory facilities. At times antibiotics have to be started on

empirical basis. It is always better to take urine sample for culture and sensitivity before starting antibiotics and change it after the result of laboratory test, if indicated. This practice may help in reducing the development of resistance to commonly used antibiotics. Adequate control of diabetes is helpful in reducing the occurrence of urinary infection in diabetics. In hospitalized patients observing strict aseptic measures during procedures on urinary tract is an effective way of preventing urinary tract infections. Observing good personal hygiene is also important in controlling urinary tract infection. Urinary tract infection has been the subject of different studies including those conducted in Pakistan. The present study was planned to know the common pathogens responsible for UTI in different age groups and their antibiotic sensitivity and clinical profile.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This cross sectional study was conducted on 200 patients presenting to Ayub Teaching Hospital, Abbottabad, with symptoms and signs of UTI and referred for urine culture and sensitivity tests to Ayub Medical College from 1st May, 2009 to 31st May, 2012. Early morning midstream samples of urine were taken from each patient in a sterilized container. Initial physical, chemical and microscopic examination was performed on each sample of urine

1. Pathology Department Ayub Medical College, Abbottabad.

2. Pathology Department, Sheikh Zayed Medical College/Hospital, Rahim Yar Khan, University of Health Sciences, Lahore.

Correspondence: Dr. Muhammad Idris, Department of Pathology
 Ayub Medical College, Abbottabad. University of Health Sciences Lahore.

Email: midris63@yahoo.com

Phone: 03335037762

within half hour after receiving the sample. After that, each sample was inoculated on Cystiene Lactose Electrolyte Deficient (CLED) medium and incubated at 37 °C for 18 to 24 hours. The samples showing fungal infection were inoculated on Sabourad Agar and incubated at 37 °C. Plates were examined for growth of microorganisms after 24 hours. Those having evidence of bacterial growth were examined physically, microscopically and chemically for microbial identification. Subcultures on blood and MacConkey agar were carried out for further evaluation of the pathogen. After microbial identification, the colonies were inoculated on nutrient agar and incubated at 37 °C for antimicrobial sensitivity testing by Kirby Bauer method. Results were interpreted according to guidelines.² Physical, chemical, microscopic and culture testing of urine samples was done by the recommended method.² White blood cell count (WBC) was done on venous blood sample by haematology analyzer. Presenting features of patients were also recorded. Data collection was done after informed written consent of patients and with the approval of institutional Ethical Committee. The data was entered and analyzed by SPSS version 15.

RESULTS

Majority of the patients had bacterial infection (97.5%). Fungal infection was seen in five patients (2.5%) who were known diabetics. Gram negative pathogens (88.5%) were more than gram positive (9%).

E.coli was the commonest bacterium (73%) responsible for UTI, followed by Klebsiella (8.5 %), Staphylococcus (7.5 %) and Pseudomonas species (05%) (Table I). Female patients (67.5%) were more than males (32.5 %). Majority of the patients were in 15-45 years age group (Table II). Increased frequency and dysuria were observed in all patients (Table III). E.coli was sensitive to aminoglycosides, carbapenum, piperacillin and quinolones in decreasing order of frequency (Table IV). It was found that klebsiella, pseudomonas and proteus were sensitive to norfloxacin in majority of cases. It was noted that staphylococcus were sensitive to aminoglycosides and enterococcus quinolones in majority of the cases.

Table I: Frequency of pathogens in UTI (N=200)

Microorganism					
Gram negative	No (%)	Gram positive	No (%)	Fungi	No (%)
E. Coli	146(73)	Staphylococcus	15 (7.5)	Candida Albicans	05(2.5)
Klebsiella	17(8.5)	Enterococcus	03(1.5)		
Pseudomonas	10 (05)		18 (09)		05(2.5)
Acinetobacter	04 (02)				
Total	177(88.5)				

Table II: Demographic data of patients (N=200)

Age in years			Gender		Previous episodes of UTI			
<15	15-45	>45	Male	Female	Nil	1-3	>3	unknown
70	105	25	65	135	110	55	85	10
(35)	(52.5)	(12.5)	(32.5)	(67.5)	(55)	(27.5)	(42.5)	(05)

Table III: Presenting features and white blood cell counts of patients (N=200)

White blood cell count ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{l}$)				Presenting features		
< 11	10-15	16-20	>20	Frequency	Dysuria	Fever
176	15	08	03	200 (100)	200(100)	70 (35)

Table IV: Sensitivity pattern of gram negative microorganisms (n=177)

Antibacterial agents	E. coli (%)	Klebsiella Spp(%)	Pseudomonas Spp(%)	Proteus Spp (%)
Cefotaxime	15	27	0	0
Coamoxyclav	22	35	25	30
Ampicillin	07	12	0	18
Gentamycin	25	33	25	22
Amikacin	52	42	35	38
Cefipime	05	00	08	12
Lincomycin	07	22	00	00
Nitrofurantoin	25	30	28	23
Cloxacillin	03	00	05	02
Imipenim	45	00	00	00
Ciprofloxacin	08	05	00	00
Nalidixic acid	25	35	43	33
Norfloxacin	18	48	52	63
Levofloxacin	20	25	32	00
Meropenim	43	00	35	45
Piperacillin	32	00	37	42

Table V: Sensitivity pattern of gram positive isolates (n=18)

Antimicrobial	Staphylococcus (%)	Enterococcus (%)
Vancomycin	49	25
Erythromycin	10	55
Ampicillin	0	0
Gentamycin	40	24
Amikacin	68	0
CefepimeFP	32	0
Nitrofurantoin	0	58
Imipepenim	53	0
Ciprofloxacin	45	10
Norfloxacin	22	57
Cefotaxime	25	0

DISCUSSION

Urine is one of the most common samples taken from patients presenting with features of UTI for microbiological study in a clinical laboratory. Gram negative rods have been the most common microorganisms encountered in UTI, especially E coli and Klebsiella species among 217 uropathogens

isolates from patients with UTI.⁶ In another study, Klebsiella was more prevalent than E. coli.⁷ In a study, conducted on pregnant females, different pathogens isolated were Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas species, Klebsiella species, Proteus species, Staphylococcus and Citrobacter species. Any correlation of symptoms with pathogens of UTI was not found in that study.⁸ In the present study, symptoms correlated positively with the degree of severity and acuteness of infection. Moreover, frequency, dysuria and fever were the most common presenting features in younger patients with acute UTI. However, fever was not seen in older patients and those with chronic UTI. Moreover, leukocytosis was observed in acute UTI, both in younger and older patients. Escherichia coli, Klebsiella, Proteus, Staphylococcus and Pseudomonas were common pathogens responsible for UTI in children in a study conducted on 100 patients⁹ however, leucocytosis was not studied. Congenital urinary tract anomalies were found in a prospective study conducted on 82 children.¹⁰ Our study did not focus upon urinary tract anomalies. Escherichia coli and Klebsiella were the commonest uropathogens, followed by Proteus mirabilis, Enterobacter and Staphylococcus aureus. Maximum sensitivity of pathogens was seen to co-amoxiclav, cephalosporins, aminoglycosides and quinolones. The microorganisms were resistant to ampicillin, amoxicillin and nalidixic acid with low level resistance to cephalosporins, quinolones and aminoglycosides.¹¹ Our findings were slightly different from this, however, low sensitivity patterns were observed for E. coli to commonly used antibiotics (quinolones) as compared to aminoglycosides, cotrimoxazole and cephalosporins. Escherichia coli (E. coli) was the most common isolate, followed by Klebsiella pneumoniae and Pseudomonas Aeruginosa with variable sensitivity to the commonly used antibiotics with a decreasing susceptibility of uropathogens to fluoroquinolones.¹² Our findings regarding spectrum and antibiotic sensitivity of microorganisms were not much different from this.

Pseudomonas Aeruginosa and other gram negative microorganisms were the commonest microorganisms responsible for catheter associated UTIs during the year 1989-2000 while Enterococcus faecalis and other gram-positive

microorganisms were predominant during 2001-02. A change in the spectrum of uropathogens was observed over a period of two years in catheter associated UTI.⁵ E. coli and Klebsiella were also the most common microorganisms causing UTI in a community based study. The other pathogens diagnosed in this study included Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Enterobacter species, Enterococcus, Proteus Mirabilis, Staphylococcus aureus and Staphylococcus saprophyticus. Increasing trend of resistance to antibiotics such as Gentamicin, Amikacin, Ofloxacin, Cefotaxime and Ceftazidime was observed.¹³ Quinolone resistance observed in our study was similar to these findings. However, sensitivity to aminoglycosides was more in the present study. Fever, dysuria and failure to thrive were the common presenting features in children less than three years old with UTI, studied over a period of three years.¹⁴ Findings of the present study are in accordance with this regarding symptoms and signs, except that leucocytosis was not studied. A high rate of resistance to commonly used antibiotics was seen in catheter associated urinary tract infections in an Indian study. Fever and dysuria were the most common presenting features in these patients.¹⁵ Our findings in catheterised patients or those with previous history of catheterization are in accordance with the findings of Indian study. In a prior study Escherichia coli was the predominant organism followed by Klebsiella species. It was resistant to commonly prescribed oral antibiotics and sensitive to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and nitrofurantoin.¹⁶ Sensitivity to cotrimoxazole was observed in the present study. This is encouraging for those who do not afford costly antibiotics.

CONCLUSION

E. coli remains the most common uropathogen. Antimicrobial sensitivity of uropathogens showed a changing pattern.

REFERENCES

1. Griebing TL. Urinary tract infection in women. In: Litwin MS, Saigal CS, eds. Urologic Diseases in America. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. Washington, D.C: GPO; 2007. NIH publication 075512:587619.
2. Tolloff-Rubin NE, Cotran RS, Rubin RH. Urinary tract infection, pyelonephritis, and reflux nephropathy. In: Brenner BM, ed. Brenner & Rector's. The Kidney. 8th ed. Vol. 2. Philadelphia: Saunders; 2008: 120338.

3. Saleh AA, Ahmed SS, Ahmed M, Ibne Sattar AN, Miah RA. Changing trends in Uropathogens and their Antimicrobial sensitivity pattern. Bangladesh J Med Microbiol 2009; 03 (01): 9-12.
4. Azra S Hasan, D Nair, J Kaur, G Baweja, M Deb, P Aggarwal. Resistance patterns of urinary isolates in a tertiary indian hospital. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2007; 19(1)39.
5. Butt T, Ahmed S, Leghari MJ, Mahmood A, Anwar M. Microorganisms causing catheter associated urinary tract infection: changing pattern in the new millennium. Pak J Pathol Oct - Dec 2002; 13(4):14-8.
6. Tankhiwale SS, Jalgaonkar SV, Ahamad S, Hassani U. Evaluation of extended spectrum beta lactamase in urinary isolates. Indian J Med Res 2004; 120(6):553-6.
7. Chan RK, Lye WC, Lee EJ, Kumarasinghe G. Nosocomial urinary tract infection: a microbiological study. Ann Acad Med Singapore 1993; 22(6):873-7.
8. Ahmad J, Shah A, Ali NS. Prevalence of urinary tract infection in pregnant women of Peshawar, N.W.F.P: A single center study. J Postgrad Med Inst 2003; 17(2):168-76.
9. Qureshi AM. Organisms causing urinary tract infection in pediatric patients at Ayub teaching Hospital ABBOTTABAD. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2005; 17(1):72-4.
10. Channa NA, Jamro S, Sheikh AH, Ramzan A. Prevalance of urinary tract infection in congenital urinary tract anomalies. Pak Paed J 2000; 24(4):135-7.
11. Afzal A, Naemullah S. Spectrum of the microorganisms in children with urinary tract infection. J Rawal Med Coll Abbottabad 2008; 12(1):44-6.
12. Naeem M, Khan MA, Qazi SM. Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of bacterial pathogens causing urinary tract infection in a tertiary care hospital. APIMS 2010; 6(4):214-8.
13. Farooqi B, Shareeq F, Rizvi Q, Qureshi H, Ashfaq M. Changing pattern of antimicrobial susceptibility of organisms causing community acquired urinary tract infections. J Pak Med Assoc 2000; 50(11):369-73.
14. Rehman AR, Jahanzeb M, Siddiqui TA, Idris M. Frequency and clinical presentation of UTI among children of Hazara division, Pakistan. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2008; 20(1):63-5.
15. Eshwarappa M, Dosegowda IR, Aprameya V, Khan MW, Kumar PS, Kempegowda P. Clinico-microbiological profile of urinary tract infection in south India. Indian J Nephrol 2011; 21(1):30-6.
16. Das R, Perrelli E, Virginia Towle V, Van Ness PHV, Juthani-Mehta M. Antimicrobial Susceptibility of Bacteria Isolated from Urine Samples Obtained from Nursing Home Residents. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2009; 30(11): 1116-19.